



Analysis of Pesticide Residues in Carrot Retailed in Vegetable Markets in five Districts of Karnataka, India

Ananda Gowda S.R¹, Kesava Reddy A², Ramesh H.L^{*1}

¹Visveswarapura College of science, K.R.Road, Bangalore-560004, India

²KGF First Grade College, Oorgaam, KGF, India

Abstract

Carrot *Daucus carota* is a root vegetable, biennial plant in the umbellifer family Apiaceae and the roots contain alpha and beta-carotene and are a good source of vitamin K and vitamin B6. Pesticide residues in carrot samples of five districts viz., Bangalore Rural, Bangalore Urban, Chickballapura, Kolar and Ramanagara were analysed using gas chromatographic technique equipped with Electron Capture Detector (ECD) and Flame Thermo ionic Detector (FTD) for different pesticide residues of organophosphate, organochlorine, synthetic pyrethroids and carbamates. Acephate contamination accounted 25% in Bangalore rural, 37.5% each in Bangalore urban, Chikkaballapura and Ramanagara districts. Chlorpyrifos residue above MRL of 0.2 mg/kg noticed in all the districts except Bangalore Urban. Dichlorvos contamination hardly crossed the limit and the mean concentration of fenvalerate in different district in the order Bangalore Urban> Kolar>Chikkaballapura> Ramanagara= Bangalore Rural. Cyfluthrin- β is not detected in any districts and the concentration of monocrotophos, cyhalothrin- λ , cypermethrin and deltamethrin were found below the permissible limit in all the places selected for investigation.

Keywords: Carrot, Residue, Karnataka, Gas chromatograph

Corresponding author: Ramesh H.L

Visveswarapura College of science, K.R.Road, Bangalore-560004, India. Tel: 9880880926,

Email: ramesh64_hyadalu@rediffmail.com

Citation: Ananda Gowda S.R et al. (2020), Analysis of Pesticide Residues in Carrot Retailed in Vegetable Markets in five Districts of Karnataka, India. Int J Pharm Sci & Scient Res. 6:2, 17-24

Copyright: ©2020 Ananda Gowda S.R et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Received: February 5, 2020

Accepted: February 13, 2020

Published: February 24, 2020

Introduction

In India, DDT and BHC are partially banned, but still very much used in agriculture and public health programs because of their wide spectrum of activity and low cost. It is suspected that most of our water bodies and soils are contaminated with these chemicals or with their degradation products (Krishnamurthy et al., 1984). Approximately, 30% of Indian crop yield potential is being lost due to insects, diseases and weeds, which in terms of quantity accounts to 30MT of food. Available reports also indicate that loss of food grains is estimated to be 23% and 25% respectively due to insects and diseases (Mauskar, 2007). Pesticides are one among the chemicals that were added to an agro-ecosystem and hence they are referred to as agrochemicals. Hammerton and Reid (1985) considered pesticides, fertilizers, hormones and growth regulators as agrochemicals. Pesticides have both physical and chemical characteristics which include solubility, adsorption, volatility and the potential for degradation. Some pesticides are highly soluble and readily dissolve in water and normally come with the water flow.

India is the second largest producer of vegetables in the world (ranks next to china) and accounts for about 12 per cent of world production of vegetables with the productivity of 15 tonnes per ha which is quite low compared to other countries. The current production level is over 87.5 million tonnes and total area under vegetable cultivation is around 6.2mha (Sharma, 2008). In the world, India occupies first position in the production of cauliflower, brinjal and peas, second in onion and third in cabbage (Pandey, 2004). The increase in population and urbanization and the rising income have given great importance to the cultivation of vegetable crops (Bose and Som, 1986).

Pesticide residue is any specified substance on or in food, agricultural commodities, or animal feed resulting from the use of a pesticide. The term includes any derivative of a pesticide, such as conversion products, metabolites, reaction products, and impurities considered to be of toxicological significance. The term pesticide residue includes residues from unknown or unavoidable sources (e.g., environmental), as well as known uses of the chemical. The presence of residues in food, water and fodder is of concern to every human being. Pesticide residues mainly occur due to over usage and indiscriminate spraying on crops. Studies carried out by various institutions across the country indicate that 50-70 per cent of vegetables are contaminated with in-

secticide residues and 11 per cent of these samples had residues above MRL (Karanth, 2002; Agnihotri, 1999).

Materials and methods

This chapter deals with the description of the materials used, study area, sampling period, the sampling procedure adopted, the questionnaire survey and analytical tools and techniques employed. The present study was conducted during the year 2018-2019 in five districts of Karnataka. Field study was conducted to collect the information about various aspects of pesticide use and their safety. This information was used as the baseline data to investigate the residue level of the analyzed pesticides in vegetables.




Figure.1 : Location map of the study area.

Study area

Karnataka State is situated in the west central part of peninsular India, geographically located between 110 30' N to 180 30' N latitudes and 740 E to 780 30' E longitude. The state covers an area of 191,976 square kilometers (74,122 sq mi) or 5.83% of the total geographical area of India. Five districts of Karnataka namely, Bangalore rural, Bangalore urban, Chikkaballapura, Kolar and Ramanagara (Figure.1) are presenting the hub of agricultural activities were selected as study areas for the determination of pesticide residues in vegetable samples. A sporadic information and data was collected from farmers with respect to use of different pesticides and harvesting period of vegetables and fruits in the study area. The sampling period covered the pre- and post-rainy seasons, coinciding with maximum harvest period of vegetable samples coming from different sources of agriculture in the region were procured randomly in the year 2018-2019.

Standard solutions

Pesticide standard stock solutions were procured from Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi. Working standard solutions containing a mixture of the analyte were prepared from the stock by appropriate solvent dilutions in n-hexane.

For standards preparation, the required amount of pesticide standards were mixed with required volume of n-hexane (HPLC grade). The stock solutions, 100ppm of each pesticide were prepared, labeled and stored in airtight clean bottles. From this, a single mix standard of 100ppm was prepared, which was diluted firstly to 10ppm and then to 1ppm. The 1ppm mix standard was used to make the calibration standards of 0.01, 0.5 and 1.0ppm. In this way, a series of calibration standards ranging from 1.0 to 0.01ppm was prepared. The single and mixed stock solutions were stored at -5°C while, the calibration standards were made on the day of analysis. The calibration standards so prepared (1µl) were injected to GC and analyzed.

Reagents

All solvents like n-hexane, acetonitrile, petroleum ether and diethyl ether (HPLC grade) were procured from Sigma Aldrich Co., and were glass distilled before use. AR grade sodium chloride (NaCl) and anhydrous sodium sulphate (Na_2SO_4) was procured from HIMEDIA Pvt. Ltd., India. Before use, anhydrous sodium sulphate (Na_2SO_4) was purified with acetone and heated for 4hr at 400°C in a muffle furnace to remove possible phthalate impurities. Florosil (60-100 mesh) purchased from Merck India limited was activated at 450°C and reheated at 130°C for 5hr before use.

Pesticide analysis

During this investigation, a residue of insecticide in carrot was monitored in 50 vegetable samples from five districts of Karnataka using Gas Chromatograph with ECD and FTD (Shimadzu make, Model GC-2010). Preparation of the samples and determination of insecticide residues was based on the method described by AOAC (2000).

Extraction

In the present study, only the edible parts of vegetable samples (1.0kg) were chopped and 50g of samples were extracted in a warring blender with 100 ml acetonitrile for 2-3min. The solvent was filtered through a Buchner funnel. The fruit residue was again subjected to extraction with 50ml acetonitrile two more times. The extracts were evaporated under vacuum to about 5ml and then transferred to a separator funnel of capacity 1000 ml. 600 ml of 5% sodium chloride was added and the extract was exchanged into petroleum ether layer by liquid-liquid partitioning thrice (100ml, 2 × 50ml). The extract was then passed through a layer of sodium sulfate (5g) and evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator at a temperature below 40°C

Clean up

Glass column (60cm length × 2.0cm I.D) was packed with a mixture of florisil (10g), anhydrous sodiumsulphate (10g) and activated charcoal (0.2g) supported on a cotton plug was used for cleanup and the sample was wetted with 50ml petroleum ether. Sample slurry prepared using petroleum ether was transferred to the column. The glass beaker containing extract was rinsed with acetone and was transferred to the column, which was allowed to stand for 45min. Subsequently, the petroleum ether present in the column was eluted drop-wise (5ml/min). When about 5ml petroleum ether remained on the surface of the adsorbent, the extract was eluted with 200ml each of freshly prepared 6% solvent mixture (diethyl ether in petroleum ether), 15% solvent mixture (diethyl ether in petroleum ether) and 50% solvent mixture successively. The eluents were concentrated to dryness in a rotary evaporator under vacuum and diluted to 10ml with n-hexane for further analysis. From the dissolved residues, 1µl was injected to gas chromatograph and peak areas were compared with those obtained from similar injections of standards.

Pesticide residue analysis

The pesticide residue analysis was performed on Gas Chromatograph GC-2010 (Shimadzu make) equipped with ECD (Electron Capture Detector) and FTD (Flame Thermionic Detector). A fused silica capillary column (BP5- 5% Phenyl, 95% Dimethylpolysiloxane) was used for the analysis.

Insecticides like organochlorines (OCs) and pyrethroids (SPs) were analyzed using ECD (63Ni) and a capillary column BP-5 (60m × 0.25mm I.D. × 0.25µm film thickness) with split ratio 1:10. Nitrogen flow rate of 30ml/min, injection port temperature of 250°C and temperature of detector of 300°C and an injection volume of 1µl were the Gas - Liquid Chromatography (GLC) working conditions maintained during the analyses. The column temperature was initially maintained at 80°C for 5min and then slowly increased to 260°C at the rate of 100°C per min for 5min and finally increased to 290°C for 5min. In contrast, organophosphates (OPs) residues were analyzed with FTD and a split less capillary column DB-1 (10m × 0.53mm I.D. × 2.65µm film thickness). The GLC working conditions maintained during the analyses were nitrogen flow rate of 60ml/min, hydrogen flow rate of 3ml/min, air flow rate of 150ml/min, injection port temperature of 280°C, detector temperature of 300°C and an injection volume of 1µl with split ratio of 1:10. The column temperature was initially maintained at 180°C for 5min and then gradually increased to 260°C for 5min.

Estimation / Quantification of residues

The carrier gas obtained from a steel gas cylinder passes through a flow regulator for the adjusted flow rate and enters into the sample injector. A little amount of the sample is introduced into the sample injector with the help of a hypodermic syringe. The sample injector is maintained at a temperature higher than the boiling point of the highest boiling component of sample in order to ensure rapid vaporization of the liquid samples. The carrier gas entering the sample injector sweeps off the vaporized sample and passes down the temperature programmed column. The components of the sample are distributed between the stationary and the mobile phases and pass down the column at different rates. This results in the separation of the components of the sample. The carrier gas with the separated components enters the detector, which measure the change in composition of the carrier gas as it passes through it. This change is amplified before it is fed into a recorder which drives the recording pen on a moving strip of paper and a chromatogram is obtained. Currently rapid instrumental methods are available for data processing and obtaining chromatograms in computer compatible formats.

The pesticide residue concentration was calculated using the equation.

$$\text{Residues value } (\mu\text{g/g}) = \frac{A_s \times V_{std} \times C_{std} \times D_f}{A_{std} \times V_s \times W_s}$$

Where

As = peak area of sample injected (mv)

Astd = peak area of standard injected (mv)

Vs = volume of sample injected (µl/ml)

Vstd = volume of standard injected (µl/ml)

Cstd = concentration of standard (µg/ml)

Ws = weight of sample taken (g)

Df = dilution factor (ml)

Fortification / Recovery studies

The recovery studies for 3 replicates for each pesticide at three different fortification levels (1.0, 0.5 and 0.01mg/kg) were carried out fig.----. For this purpose, vegetable samples were spiked with 1ml of desired concentration of pesticide. Resulting samples were mixed and allowed to stand for 30 min before extraction and then processed separately as per the methodology described above. The amount of pesticide residues in vegetable samples were calculated by measuring peak areas from extracted current profiles and comparing with those obtained from matrix-matched standards of a concentration similar to that of samples. Spiked samples were calculated in the same way as regular samples (Harry et al., 1993; Michel et al., 2003; Anna et al., 2004).

Calculation of Percentage Recovery

The percentage recovery was calculated using the formula

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = (\text{Amount recovered}) / (\text{Amount spiked}) \times 100$$

Maximum Residue Levels (MRLs)

Maximum residue levels may be defined as the maximum levels of pesticide residue present in or on a produce when pesticide used under supervision following good agricultural practices (GAP). According to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), it is the concentration of a pesticide residue that can remain in food and feed products, or commodities. It is also known as 'pesticide residue limits' or tolerances, which are set to protect human from harmful levels of pesticides in food.

Retention time (RT)

Retention time is defined as the time elapsed from injection of sample into the chromatographic system until the highest concentration part of the peak is recorded in the chromatogram, which has diluted from

the column (Table-2). In other words, it is length of time; a compound is retained on a chromatography column and is expressed in terms of minutes. The retention time on capillary column of Gas Chromato-

graph for various pesticide residues analyzed in the present study. It is evident from the results that the retention time for OCPs, OPPs and SPs ranged from 17.6 to 24.4, 11.6 to 22.4 and 30.6 to 34.5 mins respectively.

Sl No	Pesticide groups	Name of the pesticide	Carrot (n=3)			
			Level of fortification (mg/kg)			
			0.01	1	0.5	0.01
1	OCPs	Aldrin	89.3(3.2)	83.3(2.2)	86.4(3.6)	89.1(6.2)
2		Dieldrin	84.2(3.7)	84(3.3)	95.5(2.3)	86(5.5)
3		Endosulfan- α	83.7(3.9)	84.5(5)	93.5(0.9)	75.9(5.8)
4		Endosulfan- β	83.1(2.7)	90(3.3)	91.8(5.7)	80.6(3.6)
5		Endosulfan-Sulphate	86(3)	86.1(3.3)	96.2(1.4)	88.5(5.6)
6		HCH- α	80.4(1.7)	86.9(3.2)	88.7(5.6)	79.3(5.6)
7		HCH- β	85.7(1.2)	84.2(2.3)	81.8(1.3)	85.1(4.6)
8		HCH- γ	85(1.7)	85.3(3.7)	93(2.5)	75.9(1.6)
9		Heptachlor	92.2(3.1)	95.2(3)	95.2(1.7)	83.6(3.7)
10	OPP	Acephate	87.4(1.8)	88.1(2.5)	89.2(6.2)	
11		Chlorpyrifos	86.6(1)	84.8(1.7)	94.6(3.4)	84.5(5.4)
12		Dichlorvos	94.4(2)	89.9(1.9)	92.2(2.3)	92.4(4.8)
13		Monocrotophos	87.2(2.1)	92.7(2.8)	88.4(3.6)	88.4(1.6)
14		Phorate	87.1(3.8)	87.7(1.8)	92.1(1.3)	84.3(6.1)
15		Profenofos	84.6(2.8)	84.7(1.7)	88.5(2.7)	80.3(3.1)
16	SPs	Cyfluthrin- β	84.1(3.3)	83.8(3.5)	86.9(5)	83(3.7)
17		Cyhalothrin	93.1(2.7)	84.5(3.4)	82.7(2.2)	82.7(7.8)
18		Cypermethrin	87.2(2.6)	85.6(1.1)	83.4(3.4)	89.1(7.8)
19		Deltamethrin	92.3(2.1)	93.5(1.6)	91.9(2)	91.3(7)
20		Fenvalerate	88.3(1.2)	85.8(4.3)	88.8(2.4)	77(2.6)

Tabl 1: Average recoveries and RSDs % of different insecticides from the sample of carrot at fortification levels of 1.0, 0.5, 0.01 mg/kg




Table-2 : Retention time (RT) for various pesticide residues

Sl. No	Pesticides	Residue range (mg/kg)																			
		Bangalore rural (n=8)				Bangalore urban (n=8)				Chikkaballapura (n=8)				Kolar (n=8)				Ramanagara (n=8)			
		a(b)	min	max	mean	a(b)	min	max	mean	a(b)	min	max	mean	a(b)	min	max	mean	a(b)	min	max	mean
1	Acephate	2(25)	0.088	0.095	0.023	3(37.5)	0.067	0.268	0.056	3(37.5)	0.114	0.245	0.066	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	3(37.5)	0.151	0.324	0.081
2	Chlorpyrifos	2(25)	0.073	0.075	0.019	2(25)	0.034	0.327	0.045	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	2(25)	0.091	0.092	0.023	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
3	Dichlorvos (DDVP)	1(12.5)	BDL	0.013	0.002	1(12.5)	BDL	0.027	0.003	1(12.5)	BDL	0.011	0.001	1(12.5)	BDL	0.014	0.002	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
4	Monocrotophos	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
5	Phorate	3(37.5)	0.035	0.072	0.019	2(25)	0.036	0.078	0.014	3(37.5)	0.044	0.078	0.021	3(37.5)	0.033	0.081	0.028	2(25)	0.038	0.041	0.02
6	Cyfluthrin-β	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	1(12.5)	BDL	0.043	0.005	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
7	Cyhalothrin-λ	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
8	Cypermethrin	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
9	Deltamethrin	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
10	Fenvalerate	2(25)	0.012	0.013	0.003	2(25)	0.011	0.053	0.008	1(12.5)	BDL	0.022	0.003	2(25)	0.011	0.021	0.004	1(12.5)	BDL	0.023	0.003

Note: BDL = Below detection limit, n = No. of samples analyzed, a = Contaminated, b = % of contamination
 Table-3 : Pesticide residues (mg/kg) in carrot samples

Pesticides	Acephate	Chlorpyrifos	Dichlorvos	Monocrotophos	Phorate	Cyfluthrin-β	Cyhalothrin-λ	Cypermethrin	Deltamethrin	Fenvalerate
Acephate	1.000									
Chlorpyrifos	.341	1.000								
Dichlorvos	-.026	-.235	1.000							
Monocrotophos	.149	.015	-.028	1.000						
Phorate	.017	-.105	.224	-.070	1.000					
Cyfluthrin-β	-.158	-.152	.073	-.178	.064	1.000				
Cyhalothrin-λ	-.217	-.215	.382	-.141	.387	.045	1.000			
Cypermethrin	.025	-.082	-.098	-.158	-.091	.740	-.175	1.000		
Deltamethrin	.066	-.116	.192	-.223	-.097	-.159	-.133	-.183	1.000	
Fenvalerate	.130	.067	-.106	-.214	-.061	.357	-.036	.688	-.253	1.000
sample size = 40										
± .312		critical value .05 (two-tail)								
± .403		critical value .01 (two-tail)								

Table-3 : Pearson's correlation matrix

Pesticide residues in carrot

Variation in acephate, chlorpyrifos, dichlorvos, monocrotophos, phorate, cyfluthrin-β, cyhalothrin-λ, cypermethrin, delta methrin and fenvalerate residues in carrot samples are summarized below.

Acephate residues

The Acephate residue concentration in carrot samples varied from 0.088 to 0.095mg/kg (mean = 0.023mg/kg) in Bangalore rural, 0.067 to 0.268mg/kg (mean = 0.056mg/kg) in Bangalore urban, 0.114 to 0.245mg/kg (mean = 0.066mg/kg) in Chikkaballapura and 0.151 to 0.324mg/kg (mean = 0.081mg/kg) in Ramanagara district. The sample contamination accounted 25% in Bangalore rural, 37.5% each in Bangalore urban, Chikkaballapura and Ramanagara districts. It was not detected in the samples from Kolar and none showed acephate residue above the MRL of 2.0mg/kg. Nonetheless, the trend of mean concentration of Acephate residue in carrot in different districts is Bangalore urban > Chikkaballapura > Kolar > Ramanagara > Bangalore rural. Mohamed (2009) who evaluated cabbage samples from different local markets of Egypt and found that the acephate residue concentration varied from 0.182 to 0.457mg/kg. The results of the present investigation are also supported by findings of a similar survey conducted by Kousik and Balwinder (2010) who reported residue of acephate in the range of 0.05-0.37mg/kg in the farmgate samples of cauliflower from Punjab while Hjorth et al., (2011) reported the residue concentration of acephate in fruits and vegetables samples from South America as 0.06 - 0.028mg/kg.

Chlorpyrifos residues

Chlorpyrifos residue was not detected in carrot samples from Chikkaballapura and Ramanagara districts. In the samples from Bangalore rural, Bangalore urban and Kolar districts, it ranged from 0.073 to 0.075mg/kg (mean = 0.019mg/kg), 0.034 to 0.327mg/kg (mean = 0.045mg/kg) and 0.091 to 0.092mg/kg (mean = 0.023mg/kg) respectively with 25% of the sample from each district showing contamination with respect to chlorpyrifos residue. None of the samples had chlorpyrifos residue above the MRL of 0.2mg/kg except for 12.5% of samples from Bangalore urban district. The trend of mean concentration of chlorpyrifos residue in carrot is Bangalore urban > Kolar > Bangalore rural. Crensil Kofi Bempah et al., (2012) studied chlorpyrifos residues levels in 309 samples of fruits and vegetables (pineapple, lettuce, cabbage, cucumber and onion) samples sold in Ghanaian markets, with the residue level varying from 0.001-0.062 mg/kg. They also found that chlorpyrifos in pineapple was higher than their respective European Commission MRLs. Mean concentration of chlorpyrifos was reported in egg plant (24.02µg/kg), cabbage (10.55µg/kg), cauliflower (2.85µg/kg), tomato (178.87 µg/kg) and ladyfinger (2.49µg/kg) from Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India (Sukesh et al., 2012., H.L.Ramesh, 2015). These results are also in fair agreement with our findings.

Dichlorvos residues

In Bangalore rural, Bangalore urban, Chikkaballapura and Kolar districts, the dichlorvos residue in carrot samples respectively varied from BDL to 0.013mg/kg (mean = 0.002mg/kg), BDL to 0.027mg/kg (mean

= 0.003mg/kg), BDL to 0.011mg/kg (mean = 0.001mg/kg) and BDL to 0.014mg/kg (mean = 0.002mg/kg) with 12.5% sample contamination in each district. Dichlorvos residue in none of samples crossed the MRL value of 0.15mg/kg while it was not detected in the samples from Ramanagara district. The trend of mean concentration of dichlorvos residue in carrot is Bangalore urban > Bangalore rural > Chikkaballapura. Beena Kumari et al., (2003) who reported dichlorvos residue concentration ranging from 0.004 – 0.022mg/kg in cabbage, cauliflower, pea grains, brinjal, tomato, potato and green chilly samples collected from wholesale markets of Hisar, Haryana.

Phorate residues

In Bangalore rural, the concentration of phorate residue in carrot samples varied from 0.035 to 0.072mg/kg (mean = 0.019mg/kg) with 37.5% of samples contaminated and in Bangalore urban, it ranged from 0.036 to 0.078mg/kg (mean = 0.014mg/kg) with 25% of samples contamination. In Chikkaballapura district, it ranged from 0.044 to 0.078mg/kg (mean = 0.021mg/kg) with 37.5% of sample contamination while it varied from 0.033 to 0.081mg/kg (mean = 0.028mg/kg) with 37.5% of samples contamination in Kolar district. Phorate residue values exceeded the MRL value of 0.05 mg/kg in 12.5% of samples collected from these districts. Contrast to this, in Ramanagara district, it ranged from 0.038 to 0.041mg/kg (mean = 0.02mg/kg) with 25 % of sample contamination and none of samples having residue above the MRL. The trend of mean concentration of phorate residue in carrot in different districts is Kolar > Chikkaballapura > Ramanagara > Bangalore rural > Bangalore urban. Ligang wang et al., (2008) who revealed the presence of phorate in Shanghai green (0.0257µg/g) and Chinese cabbage (0.0398µg/g) from Nanjing, China. The present investigation results are also endorsed by findings of Chen et al., (2011) who reported phorate residues in fruits and vegetables which vary from BDL to 0.405mg/kg from Xiamen, China.

Cyfluthrin-β residues

In Bangalore urban, the concentration of cyfluthrin-β residue in carrot samples is BDL to 0.043mg/kg (mean = 0.005mg/kg) with 12.5% of samples showing contamination with cyfluthrin-β, but none of the samples crossed the MRL value of 3.0mg/kg. It is not detected in the carrot samples from Bangalore rural, Chikkaballapura, Kolar and Ramanagara districts.

Fenvalerate residues

The concentration of fenvalerate residue in carrot varied from 0.012 to 0.013mg/kg (mean = 0.003mg/kg) with 25% of samples contamination in Bangalore rural, 0.011 to 0.053mg/kg (mean = 0.008mg/kg) with 25% of samples contamination in Bangalore urban, BDL to 0.022mg/kg (mean = 0.003mg/kg) with 12.5% of samples in Chikkaballapura, 0.011 to 0.021mg/kg (mean = 0.004mg/kg) with 25% of samples contamination in Kolar and BDL to 0.023mg/kg (mean = 0.003mg/kg) and 12.5% of samples in Ramanagara districts. None of the samples having fenvalerate concentration was higher than the MRL value of 1.0mg/kg. The trend of mean concentration of fenvalerate residue in carrot in different districts is Bangalore urban > Kolar > Chikkaballapura = Ramanagara = Bangalore rural. Crentsil Kofi Bempah et al., (2011) in carrot and onion markets samples from Kumasi ranged from 0.004-0.008mg/kg (mean: 0.006mg/kg) and 0.031-0.042mg/kg (mean: 0.037mg/kg) respectively. The results are also satisfactory with the findings of Maria et al., (2011) who reported the presence of fenvalerate in the range of 0.061-0.07mg/kg in vegetable samples like green pepper, white onion, tomato, lettuce, green onion, potato and saladette tomato produced in Sonora, Mexico.

Monocrotophos, Cyhalothrin-λ, Cypermethrin, Deltamethrin residues

The monocrotophos, cyhalothrin-λ, cypermethrin and deltamethrin residues are below the detectable level in carrot samples from all the five districts Beena Kumari et al., (2006) reported 9.5% ber fruit samples contained 0.030µg/g monocrotophos and 14.2% of guava fruit samples had detectable amount of monocrotophos. Similarly, a study by Ranga Rao et al., (2009) revealed the presence of monocrotophos in the range of 0.001-0.044mg/kg in vegetable samples (viz., brinjal, cucumber, okra, ridge gourd and tomato) collected from Andhra Pradesh, India.

Pearson's correlation matrix

The Pearson's correlation matrix was carried out using SPSS as it describes the interrelationship among various variables. This procedure calculates any of a wide variety of statistics measuring either similarities or dissimilarities (distances), either between pairs of variables or between pairs of cases. These similarity or distance measures can then be used with other procedures, such as factor analysis, cluster analysis, or multi dimensional scaling, to help analyze complex data sets. The correlation matrix of analyzed pesticide residues parameters are presented in Table-3. Cyfluthrin-β residue showed strong positive correlation with cypermethrin residue (r=0.740) and in turn cypermethrin with fenvalerate (r=0.688), both at 0.01 level of significance. Moderate positive correlation existed between acephate and chlorpyrifos (r=0.341), dichlorvos and cyhalothrin-λ (r=0.382), phorate and cyhalothrin-λ (r=0.387) and, cyfluthrin-β and fenvalerate (r=0.357) at 0.05 level of significance. Correlations between other pesticide residues were insignificant both at 0.01 and 0.05 levels of significance.

Conclusion

Carrot samples that were found to be contaminated with pesticide residues accounted for 27.5% acephate, 15% chlorpyrifos, 10% dichlorvos, 32.5% phorate, 5% cyfluthrin-β and 20% fenvalerate. Multimedia awareness activities in local language should be massively conducted on the dangers posed by pesticides contamination in the food. Proper legislations on handling of pesticide should be introduced and practiced. Measures must be enforced to stop the use of forbidden pesticides. Integrated pest management or Integrated Crop management must be adopted in order to decrease amount of the pesticide and improve human health.

Acknowledgement: The authors are highly indebted to University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi for providing the assistantship and college authorities for necessary infrastructure and encouragement.

Conflict of interest: Authors have declared that no conflict of interests exists.

References

1. Agnihotri, N.P. 1999. Pesticide safety evaluation and monitoring. All India Coordinated Research Project on Pesticide Residues Division of Agricultural Chemicals. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, 132-142.
2. Anna, S., Luciana, B. and Mirella, B. 2004. Application of liquid chromatography with electrospray tandem mass spectrometry to the determination of a new generation of pesticides in processed fruits and vegetables. *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1036: 161-169.
3. AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis of the association of official analytical chemists. 17th ed. AOAC International, Maryland, USA.
4. Beena Kumari, Kumar, R., Madan, V.K., Rajvir Singh, Jagdeep Singh and Kathpal, T.S. 2003. Magnitude of pesticidal contamination in winter vegetables from Hisar, Haryana. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 87: 311-318.
5. Beena Kumari, Madan, V.K. and Kathpal, T.S. 2006. Monitoring of pesticide residues in fruits. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 123: 407-412.

6. Bose, T.K. and Som, M.G. 1986. Vegetable crops in India. Naya Prakashan, Calcutta. pp: 32-67.
7. Chen Chen, Yongzhong Qian, Qiong Chen, Chuanjiang Tao, Chuan-yong Li and Yun Li. 2011. Evaluation of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from Xiamen, China. *Food Control*, 22: 1114-1120
8. Crentsil Kofi Bempah, Archibold Buah-Kwofie, Dzifa Denutsui, Jacob Asomaning and Anita Osei Tutu. 2011. Monitoring of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables and related Health risk assessment in Kumasi metropolis, Ghana. *Res. J. Environ. Earth Sci.*, 3(6): 761-771.
9. Crentsil Kofi Bempah, Jacob Asomaning, Daniel Ayirebi Ansong, Juliana Boateng and Stephen Boahen Asabere. 2012. Contamination levels of selected organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides in Ghanaian fruits and vegetables. *Emir. J. Food Agric.*, 24 (4): 293-301.
10. Hammerton, J.L. and Reid, E.D. 1985. Agrochemicals in St. Lucia, an overview. In CARDI, Risk Assessment of Agrochemicals in the Eastern Caribbean. Proceedings of a CARDI/UNESCA, MAB workshop. pp: 16-38
11. Harry, M. and Pylypiw, J.R. 1993. Rapid gas chromatographic method for the multi-residue screening of fruits and vegetables for organochlorine and organophosphate pesticides. *J. AOAC*, 76 (6): 1369-1374.
12. Hjorth, K., Johansen, K., Holen, B., Andersson, A., Christensen, H.B., Siivinen, K. and Toome, M. 2011. Pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from South America - A Nordic project. *Food Control*, 22: 1701-1706.
13. Karanth, N.G.K. 2002. Challenges of Limiting Pesticide Residues in Fresh Vegetables: The Indian Experience, Proceedings of the International Workshop, Montpellier, France, pp: 1-13.
14. Kousik Mandal and Balwinder Singh. 2010. Magnitude and frequency of pesticide residues in farmgate samples of cauliflower in Punjab, India. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 85: 423-426.
15. Krishnamurthy, C.R. 1984. Pesticide residues in food and biological tissues, Indian National Science Academy, New Delhi.
16. Ligang Wang, Yongchao Liang and Xin Jiang. 2008. Analysis of eight organophosphorus pesticide residues in fresh vegetables retailed in agricultural product markets of Nanjing, China. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 81:377-382.
17. Maria, L., Aldana-Madrid, Ana I., Valenzuela-Quintanar, Maria, I., Silveira-Gramont, Guillermo Rodriguez-Olibarria, Patricia Grajeda-Cota, Fabiola G., Zuno-Florianio and Marion G.M. 2011. Residual pyrethroids in fresh horticultural products in Sonora, Mexico. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 87: 436-439.
18. Mauskar, J.M. 2007. Comprehensive Industry Documents Series (COINDS). Central Pollution Control Board Ministry of Environment and Forests, Website: www.cpcb.nic.in.
19. Michel, A.N. and Steven, J.L. 2003. Fast and easy multiresidue method employing acetonitrile extraction partitioning and "Dispersive solid-phase extraction" for the determination of pesticide residues in produce. *J. AOAC*, 86 (2): 412-432.
20. Pandey, U.B. 2004. Development programmes and policies for accelerated production of vegetables in India. In Food Security and Vegetables – A Global Perspective. Eds: Premnath, P. B., Gaddagimath, Dutta, O. P and Premnath. Agricultural Science Foundation, Bangalore. pp: 281-303.
21. H.L.Ramesh. Analysis of fruit and vegetables for pesticide residues using gas chromatograph. 2015. *IJAR*, 5(3):526-529
22. Ranga Rao, G.V., Sahrawat, K.L., Ch. Srinivasa Rao, Binitha Das, Kirankumar Reddy, K., Bharath, B.S., Rameshwar Rao, V., Murthy, K.V.S. and Wani, S.P. 2009. Insecticide residues in vegetable crops grown in Kothapalli watershed, Andhra Pradesh, India: A case study. *Indian J. Dry land Agric. Res. Dev.*, 24 (2): 21-27
23. Sharma, R.K. 2008. Management of Insect pests in vegetables. *Crop care*, 34(2): 67-67.
24. Sukesh Narayan Sinha, Vishnu Vardhana Rao.M. and Vasudev, K. 2012. Distribution of pesticides in different commonly used vegetables from Hyderabad, India. *Food Res. Int.*, 45: 161-169.